

# GIN 2023 Evaluation Summary





**GIN Glasgow Conference**  
19<sup>th</sup> – 22<sup>nd</sup> September 2023

*Maintaining quality  
while pursuing efficiency*




# Plenary Sessions




# Plenary Session

- ❑ Sessions well moderated
- ❑ More time for Q&A/slightly longer sessions
- ❑ Good scientific content




**Plenary 1 Speakers:**  
**Holger Schunemann**  
**Elie Akli**  
**Tamara Kreda**  
**38 responses**




**Plenary 2 Speakers:**  
**Julian Elliott**  
**Linn Brandt**  
**Maria Michaels**  
**30 responses**



# Plenary Session Feedback




**Plenary 3 Speakers:**  
**Yasser Sami Amer**  
**Joseph Mathew**  
**Emma McFarlane**  
**44 responses**




**Plenary 4 Speakers:**  
**Arash Rashidian**  
**Ivan Florez**  
**Ashley Jaksa**  
**18 responses**



# Plenary Session Feedback





**Plenary 5 Speakers:**  
**Danielle Pollock**  
**Per Olav Vandvik**  
**Trudy van der Weijden**  
 21 responses




**Plenary 6 Speakers:**  
**Dunja Dreesens**  
**Gordon Guyatt**  
**Sandy Lewis**  
 8 responses

# E-Poster Feedback



Delegates would prefer to continue using e-posters which is inline with our approach to sustainability



More time for the dedicated sessions was noted




We acknowledge that improvements in the format, screens and locations should be taken into consideration

# Hybrid Feedback

Was there adequate time available for delegate engagement with each other through discussions, Q&A and Meeting Hub?


Answered: 15 Skipped: 149





The split of in-person v's hybrid was 90%/10% - much higher than expected

Feedback from users logging into the portal was positive regarding navigation & accessibility

Workshop experience for online delegates could be improved



# Presenter Feedback






# Pre-Conf Courses

Course 1 - Involving patients and consumers in guidelines: a workshop of GIN PUBLIC (NICE)	22
CANCELLED - Course 2 - Living guidelines crash course: “From inception to publication” (Living Guidelines)	2 did not transfer
Course 3 - Using technology to efficiently update and adapt guidelines (Computable Publishing)	18
CANCELLED - Course 4 - Assessing the quality of clinical practice guidelines: Overview of AGREE tools and AGREE II tool training (AGREE)	1 did not transfer
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>43</b>

\*40 registrations/attendees once the 3 non-transfers are removed


\*Course numbers include facilitators

- ❑ Delegate feedback:
  - ❑ Too expensive
  - ❑ Relevance of topics didn't appeal
  - ❑ No time to attend
  - ❑ Additional travel/accommodation cost
  - ❑ Completed INGUIDE training earlier in the year
  
- ❑ INGUIDE Course Attendance:
  - ❑ Level 2 - 9
  - ❑ Level 3 - 7
  - ❑ Level 4 - 7



# Logistics & Venue

- Registration and onsite staff/volunteer team support rated excellent
- Overall, the venue rated highly for accessibility, location, space & technology
- Conf app presented a few glitches which were fixed but overall worked well in terms of navigation, agenda



# Social Events

- Delegate feedback was extremely positive!

Great  
venues!

Fantastic  
conference  
and an  
AMAZING gala  
dinner:)

Excellent  
location and  
hosts.



# Delegate Highlights

- ❑ Plenary sessions were excellent!
- ❑ Launch of our new journal
- ❑ Hybrid version of the conference
- ❑ Glasgow and its beautiful venues
- ❑ Meeting new colleagues and collaborators
- ❑ Addressing the environmental impacts in guideline development processes
- ❑ Fundamental sessions
- ❑ Inspiring workshops
- ❑ The sessions on AI and automation



If you missed out  
on GIN 2023,  
check out our  
conf highlight  
reel on [YouTube!](#)



# GIN 2023

*Maintaining quality  
while pursuing efficiency*

