



Programme - "Implementation in Practice"

	Wednesday October 1, 2008	Format codes: W = Workshop L = Lecture B = Brief presentation
8.30–	Registration	
10.00–16.00	G-I-N Board Meeting	
16.15–17.15	G-I-N Annual General Meeting	
17.15–18.00	Welcome and cocktails	
	Thursday October 2, 2008	
8.00–	Registration and Poster set up	
9.00–9.30	Opening ceremony	
9.30–10.15	Plenary 1 – Knowledge translation and guidelines Chairs: Minna Kaila, Finland and Airton Stein, Brazil Plenary speaker: Professor Alison Kitson (Green College, University of Oxford, UK) Discussant: Dr. Taina Mäntyranta (The Centre for Pharmacotherapy Development ROHTO, Finland)	
10.15–10.45	Coffee break, Exhibits and Poster viewing	
10.45–12.30	Session 1 / Workshops and Brief presentations	
	Workshops	
	W1 Rating the quality of evidence and strength of recommendations using GRADE Flottorp S, Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services Vist G, Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services Schünemann HJ, Dept. of Epidemiology, Italian National Cancer Institute Regina Elena Kunz R, Basel Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, University Hospital Basel, Switzerland	
	W2 The Best of Both Worlds. Evidence and Practice: Making it Work Palda V, Guidelines Advisory Committee, Centre for Effective Practice Rogers J, Centre for Effective Practice Lang K, Centre for Effective Practice Kapur A, Guidelines Advisory Committee	
	W3 Disease management programmes: a way to implement guidelines Burnand B, IUMSP, CHUV and University of Lausanne, Switzerland Ollenschläger G, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)	
	W4 Patient and public involvement in guidelines (Part 1): Sharing international experiences Boivin A, Center for Quality of Care Research, the Netherlands Currie K, National Institute of Clinical Studies, National Health and Medical Research Council Burgers J, Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement (CBO), the Netherlands / Centre for Quality of Care Research (WOK), University Medical Centre Nijmegen, the Netherlands Fervers B, SOR, FNCLCC, Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France Gracia J, Agencia Lain Entralgo, Spain Marshall C, Independent Guideline Advisor Thomas V, NICE van der Weijden T, Center for Quality of Care Research, Department of General Practice, Universities of Maastricht and Nijmegen, the Netherlands	
	W5 Guidelines: Horses for Courses (Different needs, different solutions) Hemming M*, Therapeutic Guidelines Ltd. Kunnamo I*, The Finnish Medical Society Duodecim	



Brief presentations / Implementation 1

L1 Peri-operative Fasting Guideline Implementation Study Evaluation (PoISE); Understanding how we can change practice

Bullock I, Royal College of Nursing; on behalf of the PoISE study team, collaborating with the Royal College of Anaesthetists

B1 Implementation and Evaluation of a Breast Cancer Guideline by use of Quality Indicators: 5 year results from the institutional and the national level in Germany

Albert US, Philipps-University, Faculty of Medicine, Breast Center Regio, Marburg
Reiter A, Federal Office of Quality Assurance (BQS gGmbH), Düsseldorf
Kopp I*, Association of the Scientific Medical Societies in Germany, Düsseldorf

B2 Implementing guidelines through education; multimedia a must

Lakhanpaul M*, National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health and the University of Leicester

Blackwell N, Health Education Research and Development Unit, University of Leicester
Manikan L, University of Leicester

B3 Improving the quality of care for patients with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review

Irving MJ*, 1. Centre for Kidney Research, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Australia 2. School of Public Health, University of Sydney, Australia

Polkinghorne KR, Nephrology Dept, Monash Medical Centre, Clayton, Victoria, Australia

Frommer M, School of Public Health, University of Sydney, Australia

Craig JC, 1. Centre for Kidney Research, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Australia 2. School of Public Health, University of Sydney, Australia

B4 Promoting implementation of current care guidelines dealing with cardiovascular risks in Päijät-Häme region

Kuronen R*, Päijät-Häme Social and Health Care District
Patja K, National Public Health Institute

B5 Bridging the gap: a model for an interdisciplinary clinical pathway in primary care in Belgium

Peremans L*, Domus Medica vzw, Belgium

Thijs G, Domus Medica vzw, Belgium

Seuntjens L, Domus Medica vzw, Belgium

van der Stighelen V, Domus Medica vzw, Belgium

van Royen P, Domus Medica vzw, Belgium

12.30–13.45

Lunch

13.45–15.00

Session 2 / Brief presentations

Electronic decision-support

B6 Implementing electronic decision support – pilot survey of attitudes

Kortteisto TRH*, School of Public Health, University of Tampere

Kaila M, Finohta/Stakes and School of Public Health, University of Tampere

Kunnamo I, Health Centre Karstula and Duodecim Publication Ltd.

Mäkelä M, Finohta/Stakes

Rissanen P, School of Public Health, University of Tampere

B7 The Manitoba Project: Testing the effect of incorporating diagnostic imaging guidelines into an electronic order entry system

Reed M, Canadian Association of Radiologists

Bowen S, Research and Evaluation, Winnipeg Regional Health Authority

Johnson K, Research and Evaluation, Winnipeg Regional Health Authority

Zhang L, Research and Evaluation, Winnipeg Regional Health Authority

Curry L, CurryCorp Inc.

B8 Real-time Clinical Decision Support by Duodecim Integrated into Mediatri Electronic Health Records

Salaspuro V*, Mediconsult, Ltd, Finland

Komulainen J, The Finnish Medical Society Duodecim, Helsinki and Stakes, National Development and Research Centre for Welfare and Health, Helsinki, Finland

Kunnamo I, The Finnish Medical Society Duodecim

Nyberg P, The Finnish Medical Society Duodecim

Rissanen T, Mediconsult, Ltd, Finland



B9 A clinical decision aid in a guideline: easier for GPs to implement?

van de Vyver N*, Domus Medica vzw, Belgium
van Linden A, Domus Medica vzw, Belgium
De Sutter A, Domus Medica vzw, Belgium
Michels J, Domus Medica vzw, Belgium

B10 Implementation of EBM Guidelines through a Portal Service

Mustonen P, The Finnish Medical Society Duodecim
Nyberg P, The Finnish Medical Society Duodecim
Kunnamo I, The Finnish Medical Society Duodecim

Indicators

B11 Quality indicators as a valuable evaluation and quality improvement tool in the implementation of the German National Disease Management Guidelines

Fishman L, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)
Nothacker M, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)
Meyerrose B, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)
Villaruel D, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)
Weinbrenner S, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)
Ollenschläger G, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)

B12 Developing a standardised and efficient procedure for guideline monitoring

Rutters D*, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)
Rollig C, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)
Weinbrenner S, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)
Ollenschläger G, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)

B13 Translating Guideline Recommendations into Algorithms for Quality Indicators – an Example from Cardiac Pacemakers

Boy O, BQS (National Institute for Quality in Healthcare)
Doebler K, BQS (National Institute for Quality in Healthcare)
Veit C, BQS (National Institute for Quality in Healthcare)

B14 Adaption of QUALIFY to be used for the development of indicators for guidelines

Reiter A*, BQS Bundesgeschäftsstelle Qualitätssicherung gGmbH
Fischer B, BQS Bundesgeschäftsstelle Qualitätssicherung gGmbH Kötting BQS Bundesgeschäftsstelle Qualitätssicherung gGmbH
Geraedts M, University of Düsseldorf
Jäckel WH, University of Freiburg
Ollenschläger G, ÄZQ Ärztliches Zentrum für Qualität in der Medizin
Döbler K, BQS Bundesgeschäftsstelle Qualitätssicherung gGmbH

B15 Redistribution of economic resources by implementing guidelines and agreement on division of tasks

Ketola E, The Finnish Medical Society Duodecim, Current Care
Sipilä R, The Centre for Pharmacotherapy Development

B16 Measuring Current Care with Indicators based on Evidence Based Guidelines for patients with non-Hodgkin Lymphoma

Wennekes L*, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Centre for Quality of Care Research (WOK), The Netherlands
Hermens RPMG, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Centre for Quality of Care Research (WOK), The Netherlands
Schouten HC, University Hospital Maastricht, Department of Hematology, The Netherlands
Raemaekers JM, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Department of Hematology, The Netherlands
Punt Radboud CJ, University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Department of Oncology, The Netherlands
Wollersheim HCH, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Centre for Quality of Care Research (WOK), The Netherlands
Grol RPTM, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Centre for Quality of Care Research (WOK), The Netherlands
Ottevanger PB, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Department of Oncology, The Netherlands



Adaptation

L2 Strength of recommendations according to the GRADE system

Flottorp S, Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services
Vist G, Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services
Kunz R, Basel Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, University Hospital Basel, Switzerland
Schünemann HJ, Dept. of Epidemiology, Italian National Cancer Institute Regina Elena

B17 The challenges in transferring systematic methodologies to develop guidance from the Clinical field to Health Protection (a specialised area of Public Health)

Sanchez-Vivar A, Health Protection Scotland (HPS) and Health Protection Network (HPN)
James R*, Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN)
Harbour R, SIGN
Murdoch H, HPS
Redman C, HPS
Blatchford O, HPN
Donaghy M, HPS

B18 Guideline adaptation in practice: preliminary results from the evaluation of the use of the ADAPTE framework and process

Burnand B, IUMSP, CHUV and University of Lausanne, Switzerland
Rémy-Stockinger M, SOR, FNCLCC, Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France
Fervers B, SOR, FNCLCC, Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France
Collaboration ADAPTE

B19 Systematic Review, Adaptation and Consensus: Using a combination of methods to collaborate in the development of cancer guidelines in Ontario, Canada

McNair S, Cancer Care Ontario's Program in Evidence-Based Care (CCOPEBC) and McMaster University
Brouwers M, Cancer Care Ontario's Program in Evidence-Based Care (CCOPEBC) and McMaster University

B20 Guideline Adaptation – Not as Easy as it Sounds

Harstall C, Institute of Health Economics
Moga C, Institute of Health Economics
Scott A, Institute of Health Economics
Taenzer P, Calgary Health Region Chronic Pain Centre

Quality of care

L3 Grading and summarizing the quality of evidence for management recommendations according to the GRADE system

Flottorp S, Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services
Vist G, Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services
Kunz R, Basel Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, University Hospital Basel, Switzerland
Schünemann HJ, Dept. of Epidemiology, Italian National Cancer Institute Regina Elena

B21 Comprehensive medication review by pharmacist a valuable tool for GP to improve pharmacotherapy

Leikola S, Finnish Pharmacists' Association

B22 AGREE Next Steps: Continuous Quality Improvement in the Evaluation of Clinical Practice Guidelines

Brouwers MC*, McMaster University, Canada
Browman GP, BC Cancer Agency, Canada
Burgers JS, Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement, Netherlands
Cluzeau FA, St George's Hospital Medical School, London UK
Davis D, University of Toronto, Canada
Feder GS, Bart's & the London Queen Mary's School of Medicine, UK
Fervers B, FNCLCC, Lyon, France
Graham ID, Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Canada
Grimshaw JM, Ottawa Health Research Unit, Canada
Hanna SE, McMaster University, Canada
Kho ME, McMaster University, Canada
Littlejohns P, National Institute for Clinical Excellence, UK
Makarski J, McMaster University, Canada
Ollenschlaeger G, German Agency for Quality in Medicine, Germany
Rawski EM, McMaster University, Canada
Weinbrenner S, German Agency for Quality in Medicine, Germany
Zitzelsberger L, Ottawa Health Research Unit, Canada



B23 Quality Evidence on a Clinician ´s Schedule: meeting in the middle with a BEST Best Evidence Statement

Clark E*, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center
McGee S, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center

B24 Effectiveness of evidence-based clinical practice guidelines: do they improve quality of care?

Lugtenberg M*, Tilburg University, Department of Tranzo, the Netherlands
Burgers J, Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement (CBO), the Netherlands / Centre for Quality of Care Research (WOK), University Medical Centre Nijmegen, the Netherlands
Westert GP, Tilburg University, Department of Tranzo, the Netherlands / National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the Netherlands

Implementation 2

L4 The NICE implementation programme – recent developments and overall impact

Leng G, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

B25 Implementing PET Imaging According to Evidence-based Guidelines in Ontario, Canada

Evans W*, McMaster University and Hamilton Health Sciences
Laupacis A, University of Toronto
Levine M, McMaster University and Hamilton Health Sciences
Gulenchyn K, McMaster University and Hamilton Health Sciences
Levin L, Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care (MOHLTC) for the province of Ontario

B26 Evaluation of a multifactorial implementation strategy of three Clinical Practice Guidelines on cardiovascular risk (hypertension, diabetes and hyperlipidemia) in primary health care in the Autonomous Community of Basque Country

Etxeberría A, Osakidetza, Basque Health System
Rotaeche R, Osakidetza, Basque Health System
Perez-Irazusta I, Osakidetza, Basque Health System
Alcorta I, Osakidetza, Basque Health System
Reviriego E, Departamento de Sanidad-Gobierno Vasco
Rico R, Departamento de Sanidad-Gobierno Vasco

B27 Regional model for the systematized lifestyle counseling, implementation of the lifestyle counseling process

Kuronen R*, Päijät-Häme Social and Health Care District
Valve R, Päijät-Häme Social and Health Care District
Päätaalo A, Päijät-Häme Social and Health Care District
Hokkanen S, Päijät-Häme Social and Health Care District

B28 Implementation of stroke rehabilitation guidelines (Current Care) in Finland

Takala TO, Finnish Stroke and Dysphasia Association and Rehabilitation Centre Petrea, Turku
Viljanen T, Finnish Stroke and Dysphasia Association

B29 Improving emergency department pain management based on nationally endorsed guidelines

Huckson S, National Health and Medical Research Council
Bennetts S, National Health and Medical Research Council

Methods 1

B30 Can a Snapshot of Guideline Attribute Reporting Be Used as a Proxy for Guideline Quality?

Nix M*, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
Coates V, ECRI Institute
Monteforte M, ECRI Institute
Haskell L, ECRI Institute

B31 Interventions to improve adherence to prescribed medication – using the evidence with a guideline development group

Nunes VD*, National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care, Royal College of General Practitioners, England
O’Flynn N, National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care, Royal College of General Practitioners, England
Neilson J, National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care, Royal College of General Practitioners, England



B32 The Use of Qualitative Research in Clinical Guidelines developed by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Evidence (NICE) in England & Wales

Tan TPY*, National Institute for Health and Clinical Evidence (NICE)
Stokes T, National Institute for Health and Clinical Evidence (NICE)

B33 The known unknowns: synthesis of uncertainties and evidence gaps to identify priority research recommendations

Garner S, NICE on behalf of the Coordination of Cancer Clinical Practice Guidelines in Europe (CoCan-CPG) Consortium

15.00–15.30

Coffee break, Exhibits and Poster viewing

15.30–17.00

Session 3 / Workshops and Brief presentations

Workshops

W6 Patient and public involvement in guidelines (Part 2): Defining strategies for the future

Boivin A, Center for Quality of Care Research, the Netherlands
Burgers J, Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement (CBO), the Netherlands / Centre for Quality of Care Research (WOK), University Medical Centre Nijmegen, the Netherlands
Fervers B, SOR, FNCLCC, Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France
Marshall C, Independent Guideline Advisor
Sänger S, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)

W7 Less resources needed for guideline adaptation: fiction or truth?

Deurenberg HWJ*, Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement CBO
Rosenbrand CJGM, Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement CBO

W12 Best Practice Support Service: From Research Evidence to Implementation

Salach L, Centre for Effective Practice
Rogers J, Centre for Effective Practice
Bean T, Centre for Effective Practice

W9 German Language CPGS UPDATE 2008 Workshop in German Language

Weinbrenner S, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)
Ollenschläger G*, German Agency for Quality in Medicine (AQuMed)
Kopp I, Association of the Scientific Medical Societies in Germany

W10 Barriers Identification in CPG implementation using error analysis techniques based in high risk domains

Pardo R, Torres M, Tellez D, Stein A, National University of Colombia, Clinical Research Institute, School of Medicine, Clinical Practice Guidelines Project

Brief presentations / Guideline programmes

L5 Guidelines and Population-based Cancer Control: A Canadian Strategy

Browman GP, Canadian Partnership Against Cancer
Brouwers M, Canadian Partnership Against Cancer
Harrison MB, Canadian Partnership Against Cancer
Poole B, Canadian Partnership Against Cancer
Temple W, Canadian Partnership Against Cancer
Fairclough L, Canadian Partnership Against Cancer
Zitzelsberger L, Canadian Partnership Against Cancer

B34 Implementing Clinical Practice Guideline External Review in Taiwan

Shih YH, Center for Health Policy Research and Development, National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan
Kuo K-N, Center for Health Policy Research and Development, National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan
Lo HL, Center for Health Policy Research and Development, National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan
Chen C*, Taipei Medical University-Wan Fang Hospital, Taiwan

B35 National nursing guidelines for identifying and intervening in child maltreatment

Flinck A*, Department of Nursing Science, University of Tampere
Paavilainen E, Department of Nursing Science, University of Tampere, Etelä-Pohjanmaa Hospital District



B36 The use of local evidence for guideline development: The example of the Japanese guidelines for cancer screening

Hamashima C, National Cancer Center
Saito H, National Cancer Center

B37 Implementing from evidence to recommendations in a national guideline programme: The NICE Linking Evidence to Recommendations (LETR) Project

Alderson P, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), UK
Stokes T*, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), UK
Lord J, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), UK
Ruiz F, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), UK

B38 Guidelines at different speeds: Urgent or perfect? The Mexican Experience to produce Clinical Practice Guidelines through an adoption model

Mayer Rivera FJ, Centro Nacional de Excelencia Tecnológica en Salud

17.00–18.00

Plenary 2 – Guideline implementation research

Chairs: Jako Burgers, Belgium and Liisa-Maria Voipio-Pulkki, Finland

Plenary speaker: Director Jean Slutsky (AHRQ, USA)

Discussant: Professor Jeremy Wyatt (Director of the Health Informatics Centre, UK)

19.00–00.30

The G-I-N 2008 Gala dinner, Ballroom, Hotel Scandic Continental





Friday October 3, 2008

8.00–	Registration and Poster set up
9.00–10.15	Plenary 3 – Guideline implementation in practice Chair: Marjukka Mäkelä, Finland Plenary speakers: Dr. Safia Qureshi (SIGN, Scotland) and Professor Bjørn Guldvog (Norwegian Directorate for Health and Social Services, Norway)
10.15–10.45	Coffee break, Exhibits and Poster viewing
10.45–12.30	Session 4 / Workshops and Brief presentations

Workshops

W11 Patients and guidelines is there a living connection?

Ketola E*, Current Care, the Finnish Medical Society Duodecim
Honkanen M, Current Care, the Finnish Medical Society Duodecim
Aho T, Current Care, the Finnish Medical Society Duodecim
Riikola T, Current Care, the Finnish Medical Society Duodecim
Tala T, Current Care, the Finnish Medical Society Duodecim

W8 Guideline adaptation: a methodology to enhance efficiency in guideline development and improve utilization

Fervers B*, Brouwers M*, Mlika-Cabanne N*, Bumand B*, Remy-Stockinger M*, Burgers J*, for the ADAPTE Collaboration

W13 Using formal consensus methods to engage stakeholders in guideline development and implementation

Lakhanpaul M, National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health and the University of Leicester
Ullman R, National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health

W14 Evidence tables Phase II: diagnostic questions

Mlika-Cabanne N*, French National Authority for Health (HAS), France
Laurence M, French National Authority for Health (HAS), France
Twaddle S, Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), UK
For the Evidence Tables Working Group (ETWG)

W15 Identifying barriers to the implementation of clinical practice guidelines among healthcare professionals

Burgers J*, Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement (CBO), the Netherlands / Centre for Quality of Care Research (WOK), University Medical Centre Nijmegen, the Netherlands
Lugtenberg M, Tilburg University, the Netherlands
Westert GP, Tilburg University, the Netherlands

Brief presentations / Implementation 3

L8 AHRQ's Health Care Innovations Exchange: A New Resource Making Connections Between Guidelines in the National Guideline Clearinghouse and Novel Implementation Efforts

Nix M*, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
Consalvo J, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
Nieva V, Westat
Carpenter D, Westat
Mardon R, Westat

B55 Nurses' experiences of guideline implementation: a focus group study

Alanen S*, Tampere University Hospital
Välämäki M, Department of Nursing Science, University of Turku

B56 Impact of patients – characteristics on the effectiveness of preventive guideline implementation strategies in primary care: a systematic review

Boivin A, Center for Quality of Care Research

B57 A new Canadian strategy for the production and implementation of respiratory guidelines

Van Dam A, Canadian Thoracic Society
Boulet L-P, Institut de cardiologie et de pneumologie de l'Université Laval



B58 Guideline for the avoidance of physical restraints in nursing homes: a first step to establish evidence-based nursing guidelines in Germany

Gerlach A*, MIN-Faculty, Unit of Health Sciences and Education, University of Hamburg
Haut A, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Nursing Science, Private University of Witten/Herdecke
Meyer G, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Nursing Science, Private University of Witten/Herdecke
Köpke S, MIN-Faculty, Unit of Health Sciences and Education, University of Hamburg

B59 Education, research and clinical practice – Centre for clinical practice guidelines of Palacky University Faculty of Medicine

Licenik R*, Department of Social Medicine and Health Policy, Centre for Clinical Practice Guidelines, Palacky University Faculty of Medicine, Olomouc, Czech Republic and Grantham & District Hospital, Grantham, United Kingdom
Ivanova K, Department of Social Medicine and Health Policy, Palacky University Faculty of Medicine, Olomouc, Czech Republic
Capova E, Department of Clinical Microbiology, Tabor District Hospital, Tabor, Czech Republic
Jelenova D, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Motol University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic
Khun T, Department of Paediatrics, Ostrava University Hospital, Ostrava, Czech Republic
Kurfurst P, Department of Foreign Languages, Palacky University Faculty of Medicine, Olomouc, Czech Republic
Michalcova A A, Department of Anaesthesiology and Resuscitation, University Hospital Olomouc, Czech Republic
Potomkova J, Information Centre, Palacky University Faculty of Medicine, Olomouc, Czech Republic

12.30–13.45

Lunch

13.45–15.00

Session 5 / Brief presentations

Patients

B43 Improving information provision in subfertility care

Mourad SM, Center for Quality of Care Research and Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands
Hermens R, Center for Quality of Care Research, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands
Nelen W, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands
Kremer J, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands
Grol R, Center for Quality of Care Research, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

B44 Implementation of local guideline by interactive workshop improves anticoagulation therapy and patient safety

Puhakka J, City of Helsinki Health Centre
Suvanto I, City of Helsinki Health Centre
Sipilä R, Centre for Pharmacotherapy Development Finland

B45 An evaluation of 5 guideline programs with a new framework for assessing consumer involvement in guidelines (FACING)

Tong A*, University of Sydney, The Children's Hospital at Westmead
Sainsbury P, University of Sydney
Craig JC, University of Sydney, The Children's Hospital at Westmead

B46 Did practice surveys and patient focus groups make meaningful contributions to the recommendations in clinical practice guidelines in conservative management of tennis elbow?

MacDermid JC, McMaster University

Networking

L6 Avoiding duplication of effort: defining common tools and formats as a basis for sharing cancer guideline development

Kersten S*, CoCanCPG (Coordination Action, ERA-Net scheme of 6th Framework Programme of European Commission)
Otter R, CoCanCPG
Remy-Stockinger M*, CoCanCPG
Fervers B, CoCanCPG

B47 Hoitoreitit – a model of continuously cooperation for care-chains

Liski T, the Hospital District of Southwest Finland
Laine M, the Hospital District of Southwest Finland
Korvenranta H*, the Hospital District of Southwest Finland
Tunturi T, the Hospital District of Southwest Finland
Mertsola J, the Hospital District of Southwest Finland
Kivelä S-L, the Hospital District of Southwest Finland



B48 Evidence into recommendations: an interdisciplinary and cross-cultural approach to knowledge translation in health (ERICCA)

Fervers B*, Centre Léon Bérard, Université Lyon (EASIS 4129)
Michie S*, Division of Psychology and Language Sciences, University College London
Castel P*, Centre de Sociologie des Organisations (UMR7116 Sciences Po/CNRS)
Zuiderent-Jerak T*, Dept. of Health Policy and Management Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam
Bergeron H*, Centre de Sociologie des Organisations (UMR7116 Sciences Po/CNRS)

B49 Evaluation of clinical practice guidelines programme – Australasian collaboration

Sivasampu S*, Ministry of Health, Malaysia
Marshall C*, Independent Guideline Adviser

B50 The G-I-N Emergency Care Community – the first 12 months

Huckson SD, National Health and Medical Research Council
Marshall C, Independent Guideline Adviser
Buchan H, National Health and Medical Research Council

Oncology

L7 A strategic approach to clinical practice guidelines – an Australian oncology experience

Pearce AP*, National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre
Care O, National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre
Wilcoxon HC, National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre
Vagg R, National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre
Anderson KM, National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre
Luxford K, National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre
Zorbas H, National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre

B51 Implementing psychosocial care guidelines for adults with cancer in Australia – A national approach

Nehill C, National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre, Australia
Turner J, University of Queensland, Australia
Luxford K, National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre, Australia
Care O, National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre, Australia
Zorbas H, National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre, Australia
Pearce A*, National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre, Australia

B52 The American Society of Clinical Oncology's (ASCO) "Signals" Approach to Updating Clinical Practice Guidelines

Einhaus K, American Society of Clinical Oncology
Hagerty K, American Society of Clinical Oncology
Sommerfield M, American Society of Clinical Oncology

B53 Implementation of guidelines for oncology and palliative care in the Netherlands

Feller N*, The Dutch Association of Comprehensive Cancer Centres (ACCC)
van den Bogert J, The Dutch Association of Comprehensive Cancer Centres (ACCC)
Kersten S, The Dutch Association of Comprehensive Cancer Centres (ACCC)
Middelburg M, The Dutch Association of Comprehensive Cancer Centres (ACCC)Mi
Vonk S, The Dutch Association of Comprehensive Cancer Centres (ACCC)
de Boer M, The Dutch Association of Comprehensive Cancer Centres (ACCC)
Heijbroek-de Clercq W, The Dutch Association of Comprehensive Cancer Centres (ACCC)

Methods 2 – updating

B39 Experience of updating the NICE guideline on Head Injury

Hill JC*, National Collaborating Centre for Acute Care, Royal College of Surgeons of England, London
Aktar R, National Collaborating Centre for Acute Care, Royal College of Surgeons of England, London
Jones C, National Collaborating Centre for Acute Care, Royal College of Surgeons of England, London

B40 Can an existing knowledge system be used as a basis for developing, updating and communicating clinical guidelines in Denmark?

Hoeg-Jensen L, National Board of Health, Denmark



B41 An operationally defined tool for applying the AGREE instrument

Brouwers M, Cancer Care Ontario's Program in Evidence-Based Care (CCOPEBC) and McMaster University

Cosby R, Cancer Care Ontario's Program in Evidence-Based Care (CCOPEBC) and McMaster University
McNair S, Cancer Care Ontario's Program in Evidence-Based Care (CCOPEBC) and McMaster University
Rawski E, Cancer Care Ontario's Program in Evidence-Based Care (CCOPEBC) and McMaster University
Walker-Dilks C, Cancer Care Ontario's Program in Evidence-Based Care (CCOPEBC) and McMaster University

Zwaal C, Cancer Care Ontario's Program in Evidence-Based Care (CCOPEBC) and McMaster University

B42 Systematic search for guidelines and extraction of key recommendations for the update of the German disease management programme "Asthma/Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease"

Siering U, Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care
Holzmann N, Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care
Danner M, Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care
Rüther A, Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care

Methods 3

L9 Using qualitative studies in guideline development: a worked example

Nunes VD, National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care, Royal College of General Practitioners, England
O'Flynn N*, National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care, Royal College of General Practitioners, England
Neilson J, National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care, Royal College of General Practitioners, England

B60 Is there a standard for presenting guideline recommendations? An analysis of guidelines for guidelines

Hasenbein U, Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care, Cologne, Germany
Eikermann M, Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care, Cologne, Germany
Rüther A, Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care, Cologne, Germany

B61 A method for an International comparative study of non-medical factors' impact on Clinical Practise Guidelines development in oncology

Cazeneuve H, EA 4129
Knaapen L*, Mc Gill University, Montreal, Canada
Castel P, Centre de Sociologie des Organisations, Paris, France
Cambrosio A, Mc Gill University, Montreal, Canada
Paquet L, Direction de Lutte Contre le Cancer, Montreal, Canada
Remy-Stockinger M, FNCLCC-SOR, Lyon, France
Latreille J, Direction de Lutte Contre le Cancer, Montreal, Canada
Fervers B, EA 4129

B62 Guidelines topics – how to select and prioritise

Salmon M, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

B63 Making the evidence to recommendations more transparent: a case study of the use of GRADE approach in the NICE Respiratory Tract Infection Guideline

Tan TPY*, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE)
Stokes T, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE)
McAllister R, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE)
Little P, Short Guideline Programme (NICE)

Methods 4

L10 Barriers to developing evidence-based guidelines in hospitals in South East Asia and Australia

Turner T*, Monash Institute of Health Services Research, Monash University, Australia
Green S, Australasian Cochrane Centre, Monash University, Australia
Harris C, Centre for Clinical Effectiveness, Southern Health, Australia

B64 Dissemination: SIGN reviews its process and finds room for improvement

Hamza-Mohammed F*, SIGN Guidelines, Edinburgh, Scotland
Robertson S, NHS Quality Improvement Scotland
Thompson L, SIGN Guidelines, Edinburgh, Scotland

B65 Diagnosis of asthma in young children Analysis of guideline recommendations and the current evidence base

Eikermann M, Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG)
Schäfer T, Department of Social Medicine, UK-SH, Campus Lübeck
Schramm S, Department of Social Medicine, UK-SH, Campus Lübeck
Hasenbein U, Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG)
Rüther A, Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG)

B67 Implementation of clinical guidelines in oral health care – strategies of the Finnish Dental Society Apollonia

Hiiri A*, The Finnish Dental Society Apollonia

B68 Diagnostic Imaging Guidelines: the State of the Art

Reed MH, Canadian Association of Radiologists

B69 The Methodological Conundrum of Guideline Adaptation – How to Align Evidence Quality with Recommendations

Scott A, Institute of Health Economics
Moga C, Institute of Health Economics

15.00–15.30

Closing ceremony

15.30–18.00

G-I-N Board Meeting



Current Care

THE FINNISH MEDICAL SOCIETY

DUODECIM