

Workshop Chicago August 2010

Evidence tables III: Prognostic and economic evaluation

Authors: Najoua Mlika-Cabanne¹, Robin Harbour², Hans de Beer³, Rob Cook⁴, Andreas Gerber⁵, Sara Twaddle².

¹ Haute Autorité de Santé (HAS). Saint-Denis La Plaine, France

²Scotland Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), Edinburgh, United Kingdom

³Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement (CBO), Utrecht, Netherlands

⁴Bazian, London, United Kingdom

⁵Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG), Cologne, Germany

Background

As part of the effort to meet G-I-N (Guidelines International Network) objective in facilitating information sharing and avoiding duplication of efforts, a working group was set up to define a minimum dataset for summarising the appraised literature (i.e. templates). These standards would be the first step to the goal of encouraging information sharing and avoiding duplication of work.

To date two templates for intervention and diagnostic have been developed by the G-I-N Evidence Tables Working Group and two new templates to summarise single studies of prognostic questions and single economic evaluations are currently under development.

Short description of the workshop

This workshop will present:

- the survey of current templates on prognostic and economic evaluation used by G-I-N members
- a literature search on standards/ formats for prognostic and economic evaluation
- the two draft templates.

The presentations will be followed by a discussion of these results.

Main goals of the workshop

The expected outcomes from the workshop are:

- to present and discuss the results
- to receive the attendees' feedback
- to improve participants understanding of what is required in a minimum data set for summarising these studies
- to take forward the work in defining a potential list of items to be included in each template (i.e. prognostic, economic evaluations).

Target groups

This workshop will be of most interest for guidelines or HTA developers, researchers dealing with literature reviews and those concerned by evidence-based healthcare.